Strategic Culture of India and Pakistan and its implications for Strategic Stability

*Saba Kiran[1]

Abstract

This paper examines the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan, their development through time, and their relative effects on South Asia's strategic stability. It explains the distinctive components that make up each nation's strategic culture, influenced by historical events, political processes, national identities, and geopolitical circumstances, via in-depth research. The research highlights essential contrasts in the strategic cultures of Pakistan and India and attributes these differences to the divergence in their distinct national trajectories and political evolutions. The historical non-alignment, democratic ethos, economic objectives, and geopolitical factors are used to examine the Indian strategic culture. The paper also considers how these strategic cultures affect the intricate connection between these nuclear-armed neighbors by building on this basis. It broadens the discussion to consider how Pakistani and Indian strategic cultures may affect South Asia's regional stability. The research highlights strategic cultures' crucial role in determining regional dynamics and interstate interactions.

Introduction

The states of India and Pakistan comprise the South Asian geopolitical sphere, which is representative of a complex and unstable strategic environment that has been substantially influenced by the unique strategic cultures of these two countries (Ali, 2022). The complicated relationship between India and Pakistan, shaped by a complex union of ideological differences, historical tensions, and nuclear dynamics, fundamentally defines the strategic dimensions of the regional landscape (Rubin & Stulberg, 2018). Despite being a relatively new contribution to international relations theory, strategic culture significantly impacts how a country aligns its strategies in

[1] ***Corresponding Author:** *Saba Kiran* is an MS Graduate of the Department of Aerospace and Strategic Studies Air University, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-mail: sabakiranraja@gmail.com

cooperative and conflictual situations. An array of presumptions, norms, attitudes, and ideas that make up a nation's strategic culture are intricately entwined with that nation's identity, political philosophy, geostrategic reality, and historical journey. These elements influence a nation's security and military decision-making, enabling it to meet various geopolitical issues (Snyder, 1977). 'Strategic culture' refers to a region's conventions, beliefs, perspectives, behavioral patterns, habits, symbols, accomplishments, and unique methods of responding to and overcoming issues in the face of force. Although a strategic culture may last a long time, individual elements and the culture are impenetrable to change (Lock, 2010).

In the context of South Asian geopolitics, where the delicate equilibrium between peace and the looming potential for conflict holds immense significance, the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan assume a pivotal role in shaping the landscape (Sargana & Hussain, 2017). Emanating from their intertwined histories, particularly the enduring ramifications of the 1947 partition, a culture characterized by deep-rooted mistrust and animosity has firmly taken root. This underlying backdrop serves as the foundation for the strategic cultures that interplay between Pakistan and India, exerting a profound influence on the overall stability of the region (Sahgal, 2019).

To unravel the intricate nature of strategic culture, dissecting the diverse factors that contribute to its formation is imperative. This study highlights the complex relationships among these distinct strategic cultures and clarifies how these interconnections impact the region's stability through overt and discreet means. The importance of this topic is highlighted by the unstable geopolitical alignments, ongoing military conflicts, territorial disputes, and weapons manufacturing competition that characterize South Asia's security environment. Additionally, the nuclearization of Pakistan and India in 1998 added complexity to their strategic cultures and regional stability (Sahni, 2020).

Three fundamental ideas serve as the foundation for the research's theoretical framework. First, the concept of strategic culture, as stated by Johnston (1995), emphasizes the legacy of culture and historical events in influencing how states have behaved strategically. Second, the idea of nuclear deterrence, first put out by Brodie and Dunn (1946) and later refined by Jervis (1989), proposes that nuclear-armed nations exercise restraint towards one another under catastrophic retaliation. The third viewpoint is realism, promoted by Waltz (2010). According to this viewpoint, governments behave mainly to further their national interests in international relations, creating an anarchic system. When integrated, these theoretical frameworks offer a comprehensive, multifaceted perspective for analyzing the intricate strategic dynamics of India and Pakistan and their implications for the strategic stability of South Asia.

This research endeavors to delve into the intricate interplay between the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan and their consequential effects on the overall strategic stability in the South Asian region. By scrutinizing the distinct strategic cultures of these neighboring nations, the study aims to unravel the underlying factors that shape their respective approaches to security and defense. Understanding how these strategic cultures influence decision-making processes, military doctrines, and regional policies becomes paramount in assessing the broader implications for strategic stability. Through an in-depth analysis, this research seeks to provide valuable insights into the nuanced dynamics between India and Pakistan, shedding light on the potential impact on the overall stability of the South Asian geopolitical landscape. The ultimate goal is to address the central research question: How do the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan influence the strategic stability of the South Asian region?

Research Methodology

In undertaking this research, a comprehensive mixed-method approach has been employed to ensure a holistic understanding of the complex dynamics between the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan and their impact on the strategic stability of the South Asian region. A thorough data collection was undertaken, drawing from various primary and secondary sources. These sources encompassed official records, academic works, insightful think-tank studies, and a thorough examination of media products, contributing to a robust and well-rounded dataset.

The culmination of this data collection was followed by a meticulous comparative analysis, where the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan were systematically contrasted. This comparative lens is essential in elucidating the divergences and convergences within their respective approaches to security and defense.

The interpretation of findings embraces a methodological richness, incorporating qualitative thematic analysis and strategic culture theory. This combination of analytical frameworks allows for a nuanced understanding of the gathered data. It facilitates the extraction of more profound insights into the intricate ways strategic cultures influence decision-making processes, military doctrines, and overall regional policies. Utilizing these methodological tools enhances the robustness of the research, contributing to a comprehensive and insightful exploration of the interplay between strategic cultures and the strategic stability of the South Asian geopolitical landscape.

Literature Review

The strategic cultures of India and Pakistan and their subsequent implications on South Asian strategic stability are essential issues that demand significant academic research, given the complex dynamics influencing the strategic landscape of the area. The fundamental works of Johnston (1995) give the intellectual foundations of strategic culture. They describe strategic culture as a collective set of beliefs, standards, and behaviors relating to using force derived from historical events and influenced by regional, sociopolitical, and economic factors. These standards have helped direct further research on the strategic cultures of Pakistan and India.

In his analysis of Indian strategic culture, Basrur (2001) claims that it is defensive, characterized by strategic restraint, and a tendency to use force only when considered essential. However, according to a study, India's strategic culture may be changing in favor of a more aggressive posture due to perceived security concerns, as seen by its growing military might and nuclear doctrine (Ganguly & Biringer, 2001).

Pakistan's strategic approach, on the other hand, is remarkably different, mainly focusing on security issues and solidly rooted in a strict military ethos. According to Fair (2018), Pakistan's sense of serious threats from India, together with a desire for balance, were the driving forces behind the decision to pursue nuclear capability. Haqqani (2016) offers an insightful viewpoint by exploring how Islam has shaped Pakistan's strategic thinking and deftly ties together the complex relationships between religion and the country's security posture. The confluence of these unique strategic cultures has significant ramifications for South Asia's strategic stability. The ongoing struggle over Kashmir and the subsequent nuclear weapons race between the two countries, according to Paul (2005), are harmful to the strategic stability of the area. A fuller understanding of the hazardous dynamics of the Indo-Pak nuclear standoff and its potential to destabilize the region (Ganguly & Biringer, 2001).

However, Cho (2011) suggests that more economic cooperation and steps to boost mutual trust between India and Pakistan might improve regional strategic stability. Nevertheless, despite such claims, Cheema (2009) and Cohen (1997) contend that attaining long-term stability is still challenging due to the ingrained historical distrust intricately intertwined with their particular strategic cultures. There are obvious gaps in the literature, especially in the context of how these strategic cultures are changing in response to the shifting geopolitical landscape and the effects of these changes on regional stability, even though the current literature offers critical insights into the strategic cultures of India and Pakistan and their impact on South Asian strategic stability. The present study aims to fill these gaps and broaden this critical area of research.

Pakistan: Strategic Culture

The particular combination of Pakistan's historical development, geographic location, sociopolitical reality, and perceived security concerns makes up its strategic culture, and it serves as an essential lens through which to view the nation's interactions with other countries. An intriguing and challenging study of this culture is how it influences relationships with neighbors and regional stability (Briskey, 2022). Its history has significantly influenced Pakistan's strategic culture, which emerged from the turbulent British Indian split in 1947. The ongoing competition with India, marked by three major wars and other crises, has left Pakistan's strategic mindset deeply uneasy and driven it to pursue national survival relentlessly. Paul (2005) explains how the historical rivalry between India and Pakistan has primarily dictated the importance of national security and territorial integrity. Given Pakistan's strategic geographic location at the intersection of South Asia and the Middle East, as well as its closeness to rival nations and contentious neighbors like India, Afghanistan, Iran, and China, its strategic culture is greatly influenced. Living in such a geopolitically unstable area requires ongoing ability and awareness due to the inherent difficulties and hazards (Umar, 2016).

Fair (2014) points to two fundamental problems that have emphasized Pakistan's security tactics and heightened its perception of danger. The first is the long-standing territorial dispute between Pakistan and India about Kashmir. Second, Pakistan's security worries have been worsened by the rising anxiety about Afghan instability, especially post-US withdrawal (Fair, 2018).

This prevalent insecurity has dramatically influenced the country's efforts to achieve military parity and build a credible deterrent to create a balance of power. Pakistan's choice in 1998 to acquire nuclear weapons is a prime example of this strategic stance. This achievement was made possible by the urgent necessity to frighten away prospective enemies, and it has since been taken as an immutable aspect of Pakistan's strategic calculations (Khan, 2005). The acceptance of nuclear deterrents represents the country's unbreakable entwining of dread, insecurity, and strategic sovereignty. It also serves as a security tool. When considering Pakistan's strategic culture, it is essential to consider the role of the military. Cheema (2009) stated that historically, the Pakistan Army has not only been a critical player in the administration and policy-making of the nation but has also often overstepped its institutional bounds. The military's sway over foreign and domestic policy formulation has considerably impacted Pakistan's strategic conduct. Another distinctive feature of Pakistan's strategic culture is how Islam, the official religion, is incorporated into the story of national security. Haqqani (2010) also explains how Pakistan's status as an Islamic

republic has shaped its internal sociopolitical structure, exterior ties, and strategic postures.

The strategic culture of Pakistan is profoundly ingrained in a complex matrix of internal dynamics, changes in global politics, notable historical events, and its particular geographic location. Significantly, this complex dynamic regulates Pakistan's interactions with its neighbors, notably those in South Asia (Lavoy, 2006). The 1947 partition, a turning point in history, generated ongoing competition with India and provided the foundation for the emergence and development of Pakistan's strategic culture. The historical event, which was characterized by intense animosity and strong rivalry, is still having an impact on Pakistan's foreign policy and overall geopolitical standing. This complicated interaction provides insights into Pakistan's strategic culture's dense tapestry and its long-lasting effects on regional and global dynamics (Şahbaz, 2020). This past still instills an intense fear and a tireless pursuit of national survival in Pakistan's strategic psychology. In fact, because of this historical effect, Pakistan strongly emphasizes security, sovereignty, and territorial integrity, which significantly impacts its strategic decisions and interactions (Mughal, 2012).

Furthermore, Pakistan's geopolitical situation needs ongoing vigilance and preparedness to react to regional movements due to its location at the crossroads of South Asia and the Middle East and its closeness to unstable neighbors like India. The pursuit of military parity, especially with India, and developing a credible deterrent capacity are features of Pakistan's strategic culture. An essential step in this direction was Pakistan's acquisition of nuclear weapons in 1998, which helped to deeply ingrain nuclear deterrence in its strategic culture (Rana, 2018).

Political changes from military to civilian government have profoundly impacted Pakistan's strategic culture. Military regimes like Zia ul-Haq and Pervez Musharraf have affected Pakistan's strategic inclinations differently. The military has a significant role in influencing strategic and policy decisions even when the civil government is in place. Pakistan's struggles with state identity add complexity to its strategic culture, further complicating the situation. Pakistan finds itself at a crossroads affecting its strategic stance, self-perception, and diplomatic connections as a state with a dual identity as a South Asian nation and an Islamic republic (Şahbaz, 2020).

Global political landscape changes have left profound and long-lasting marks on Pakistan's strategic culture. The end of the Cold War, the worldwide war on terror after the 9/11 attacks, and China's rapid expansion to become a global powerhouse have all prompted reevaluations and adjustments to Pakistan's strategic compass, and each of these turning periods offered Pakistan a distinct set of chances and difficulties, forever influencing the development of its strategic culture (Rizvi, 2003).

As a result, Pakistan's strategic culture has developed into dynamic and complex patterns that are carefully woven with threads from historical experiences, geographic propensities, internal politics, and international events. This culture's constant evolution reflects Pakistan's constant need for security, identity, and global position. Beyond Pakistan's boundaries, the effects of this complex strategic culture significantly impact the strategic stability of the South Asian area. It provides an essential perspective to interpret and comprehend Pakistan's activities and interactions in the regional and global arena. This knowledge is critical given the complex interplay of power relations that Pakistan negotiates internationally (Lavoy, 2006).

India: Strategic Culture

India's strategic culture is significantly influenced by its historical legacy, intricate civilizational principles, deeply embedded democratic ethos, geopolitical realities, and increased focus on economic and technological progress. India's strategic culture has evolved through time, and this evolution may be seen as a series of changes that have taken place concurrently with regional and international political upheavals (Tanham, 1992).

After India gained independence from the British Empire in 1947, its strategic culture soon took shape, mainly due to the nation's colonial past and the ideals of its brand-new sovereignty. During this period, leaders like Jawaharlal Nehru played a crucial role in guiding the nation away from the bipolar power dynamics of the Cold War and towards a non-alignment strategy (Singh, 2013). The cornerstone for Indian policy at this time, which was centered on diplomatic relations and peaceful coexistence, was Nehru's *Panchsheel* principles. Despite the pacifist undertone, India's early strategic culture was not without its challenges, as seen by the 1962 border confrontation with China, a significant event that forced a thorough assessment of the country's defensive strategies (Gordon, 1994).

Since then, internal and foreign sociopolitical upheavals have coincided with the development of India's strategic culture. After the war with Pakistan in 1971, the emergence of Bangladesh was a turning point because it demonstrated India's willingness to use force to protect its interests. As a result, India's nuclear weapons development was launched, marking a fundamental change in India's strategic focus (Jones, 2006). However, India's nuclear doctrine, which follows a 'no first use' stance, attests to its commitment to the measured and restrained use of force. The vibrant internal diversity and democratic character of the country also have an impact on its strategic culture (Joshi, 2012).

India continuously strives to balance its strategic objectives and democratic ideals, given its position as a diverse country that firmly upholds democratic rules. India's foreign interactions, which usually prioritize discussion, diplomacy, and multilateral cooperation, reflect this difficult balance. India's strategic culture has been intricately linked to economic development and technical achievement for many years (Chaaterji, 2020). Economic liberalization significantly impacted the strategic environment in the 1990s, which helped India emerge as a significant participant in the global economy. Traditional security goals and worries about economic development, technological advancement, energy security, and climate change are all part of today's strategic culture (Liebeg, 2021).

India's maritime policy, which highlights the need to protect maritime interests, maintain essential sea lines of communication, and confront non-traditional security concerns, including piracy and maritime terrorism, shapes India's strategic culture as a critical player in the Indian Ocean area. India's strategic culture is constantly changing to reflect the possibilities and difficulties posed by the current state of the world (Paranjpe, 2020). The country's growing international influence influences the shape and course of this evolution, its complex interactions with major world powers like the US, Russia, and China, its participation in regional organizations like the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC), and its views on international issues like terrorism and climate change.

A non-alignment strategy, which embodied an in-depth understanding of global power dynamics and a constant drive to retain strategic autonomy, primarily affected India's strategic culture during the Cold War. India's approach to nuclear

deterrence, which both rejected the Cold War's binary logic and opposed nuclear proliferation, reflected this worldview. A significant change in India's strategic culture began with the end of the Cold War. The demise of the Soviet Union, a crucial Cold War partner, and the emergence of the United States as a sole superpower required a thorough reevaluation of India's strategic ties. This transition was expedited by India's economic liberalization in the 1990s, which sparked the country's emergence as a developing market economy. Consequently, India strengthened its connections with the West, and the historic India-US nuclear accord of 2005 was a turning point in India's strategic development.

India's strategic culture is primarily concerned with minimizing the effects of China's ascent and growing assertiveness in the Indo-Pacific region in the present geopolitical situation. This environment offers India a variety of economic benefits while also posing considerable geopolitical difficulties. India is driven to reconfigure its strategic posture in reaction to China's ascent to global prominence, giving more weight to its position and goals within the larger Indo-Pacific region (Bhaskar, 2021). Due to China's growing influence, India now faces geopolitical difficulties and economic possibilities. Tensions have increased due to ongoing border disputes and recent confrontations in the Galwan Valley in 2020, prompting India to review its policy towards China. Consequently, India is adopting a more aggressive stance, as shown by its expanded involvement in the Indo-Pacific region and active participation in the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad), including in the US, Japan, and Australia.

The contact between India and its close South Asian neighbors significantly impacts the country's strategic mindset. The ongoing Kashmir dispute, memories of previous wars, and difficulties with cross-border terrorism significantly influence India's strategic culture, which significantly affects India's complicated relationship with Pakistan, marked by hostility and peace endeavors. Additionally, interactions with other neighbors, like Bangladesh, Nepal, Sri Lanka, and the Maldives, strengthen India's position as a regional power and influence its strategic choices.

Domestic political variables such as the structure of civil-military ties, the function of bureaucracy, and the sway of public opinion have all impacted India's strategic culture. The political environment of India changed from one-party rule to coalition administrations and, more recently, to a strong majority government, which had a considerable impact on the country's strategic culture. India's strategic culture is dynamic and intricate, which reflects the country's historical trajectory, national character, internal difficulties, and shifting global conditions (Basrur, 2022). Its development through time captures India's efforts to carve out a strategic position in a world that is changing quickly, balancing its commitment to peace with realpolitik realities, its pursuit of economic progress with security requirements, and its regional ambitions with global obligations (Misra, 2018). Understanding India's strategic culture is essential to predicting India's future trajectory and any possible ramifications for regional and global stability as India continues to advance on the world stage.

Shifting Dynamics: Strategic Cultures in the Evolving South Asian Landscape
The strategic cultures of India and Pakistan are more than just a product of impersonal geopolitical facts or rough historical occurrences. They are entangled with the private tales of nationhood, identity, and survival that the people of these nations have fashioned.

India, renowned for having a wide range of cultures, views itself as one entity where many different languages, faiths, and ethnic groups coexist. The democratic process used to bring it to nationhood has been characterized by its importance for discourse and compromise. India's diplomatic approach to international dealings and its defensive but assertive military posture are both influenced by this history. Conversely, the fight for a Muslim-only state on the Indian subcontinent gave rise to Pakistan. A strategic culture that prioritizes national security and the military might have been influenced by the feeling that the country has carved out a space for itself in the face of existential threats. How each nation interacts with the others has been molded by these various nation-building experiences. For India, a diplomatic approach is encouraged by its strategic culture, which believes that conversation can settle disputes (Jones, 2006). Nevertheless, it is watchful and conscious of the necessity of a robust defense against prospective threats.

On the other hand, Pakistan often views itself as the weaker, lesser force in the situation. It views the bolstering of military might as an essential component of its national identity and existence and a defense tool. The perspectives of these nations towards one another are essential in more ways than merely military conflicts or diplomatic negotiations. These strategic cultures likewise influence their approaches to regional challenges like climate change, water security, and disaster management. In certain domains, collaboration is not only advantageous but also necessary. However, the historical distrust and geopolitical rivalry between India and Pakistan often prevent such cooperation. The capacity of India and Pakistan to comprehend and negotiate these disparities ultimately determines the stability of the South Asian area. This extends to ordinary people as well as top military and diplomatic officials.

These gaps may be closed by building personal ties, increasing communication, and boosting confidence and trust among Indians and Pakistanis. Involving impartial third parties who can resolve disputes while considering the distinct strategic cultures of both nations may be beneficial at the same time. It is essential to remember that although India and Pakistan have distinct histories and strategic cultures, they have a shared destiny in the region as we confront common challenges and possibilities. Although the road to peace may be difficult, it may be traveled with mutual respect and understanding. India and Pakistan's divergent strategic cultures heavily influence their military plans, nuclear programs, and conflict resolution methods (Khan, 2021).

Imagine India as a person who wants to play a significant role in the world while still needing to meet the demands of a large and varied nation. India is like someone attempting to multitask, juggling various security issues, as per its bigger size, diversified people, and expanding international aspirations. India's military policy resembles a vigilant watchdog, emphasizing defensive measures to safeguard its interests and territory. On the other hand, Pakistan may be seen as a smaller neighbor who lives in the background. India has always been Pakistan's primary concern. It resembles being always on high alert, prepared to protect itself at any moment. In order to balance India's conventional power, Pakistan has been compelled to have a sizable permanent army and to engage in asymmetrical warfare tactics.

It is as if India and Pakistan are playing the same game with different rules when it comes to nuclear deterrents. India has a 'no first use' policy and plays it safe, seeing nuclear weapons more as a deterrent than as tools for use in combat (Lora, 2020). However, Pakistan is prepared to consider all options, including the deployment of nuclear weapons, to counter India's overwhelming conventional forces because it feels

the strain of an unfair playing field. This discrepancy in the game's rules undoubtedly makes the area's instability more unpredictable and dangerous.

Their respective strategic cultures impact conflict resolution between these two countries. Imagine India as someone who values direct communication while resolving conflicts. It supports diplomatic engagement and bilateral talks. On the other hand, Pakistan thinks it would be on the losing end of a direct discussion and prefers to use a third party as a mediator, particularly in international fora. These divergent desires complicate conflict settlement, as we have seen in enduring crises like the Kashmir dispute (Anders, 2011). Given these difficulties, improving South Asia's strategic stability will take time. It takes understanding, persistence, creating trust, and ongoing communication to restore a problematic relationship. Tensions might be decreased by resolving the long-standing disagreements gradually rather than attempting to expedite the process.

It is also time to reconsider what security means in South Asia. Let us abandon the military-centric perspective and acknowledge non-traditional dangers, including public health emergencies, climate change, and water shortages. By cooperating, India and Pakistan may establish common ground, foster trust, and promote regional peace. Important friends or neighbors may play a big part, just like in any community. Countries with interests in South Asia, such as the US, China, and Russia, may be helpful neighbors by developing confidence-building measures, boosting discussion, and ensuring their conflicts do not feed the India-Pakistan conflict. In essence, while having differing strategic cultures, India and Pakistan share the desire for regional stability (Abbasi, 2015). This objective is undoubtedly achievable with empathy, tolerance, and teamwork.

The strategic cultures of India and Pakistan will change along with the regional and global environments. Pakistan's strategic alliance with China and India's ascent to global power status are expected to impact each other's strategic cultures and, as a result, the region's strategic stability. In addition to conventional land-based security issues, India's rising worldwide importance is accompanied by a stronger focus on marine security, technology, and space. India's strategic culture is becoming more outward-looking, emphasizing establishing a rules-based international order and promoting regional connectivity as it broadens its strategic scope beyond South Asia. The strategic balance in South Asia as a whole and its approach to Pakistan may be affected by this change. On the other hand, Pakistan's partnership with China, which has taken the form of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), continues to influence its strategic culture (Anwar et al., 2017). Given India's worries about China's expanding influence in South Asia, this deeper alliance may increase Pakistan's strategic confidence, but it also has the potential to worsen tensions with India.

Another essential element in the shifting South Asian strategic scenario is technology. On both sides, advancements influence strategic considerations in cyber security, artificial intelligence, and space technology. Realizing their potential influence on national security, India and Pakistan invest in these sectors. Given the lack of robust regulatory frameworks, these improvements also pose new dangers and difficulties for the stability of strategic relations.

The region's growing terrorism and extremism is a significant additional issue. Although both India and Pakistan are aware of this issue, their divergent viewpoints sometimes result in allegations against one another, harming their relationships. A crucial internal security concern for Pakistan is the continuous fight against militancy and extremism, which also impacts its strategic culture (Nawaz, 2016). In a larger

sense, environmental issues and climate change are becoming non-traditional severe security challenges that might worsen current tensions and conflicts in South Asia. One example is the divisive topic of water sharing between Pakistan and India. Again, how both nations respond to these difficulties will be heavily influenced by their strategic cultures.

Strategic Culture: Challenges and their Implications on South Asia's Strategic Stability

India and Pakistan's distinct historical experiences, political environments, and national identities have all contributed significantly to the development of respective strategic cultures, which in turn have a substantial impact on the strategic stability of the South Asia area. India has embraced a defensive and status-quo-focused strategic culture despite having a rich historical history, diversified culture, democratic ethos, and a prominent position worldwide (Paranjpe, 2020). In contrast, Pakistan's strategic culture tends to be more revisionist and security-focused due to its rivalry with India, internal tensions, and search for strategic depth (Khan, 2005).

The unsolved territorial disputes between India and Pakistan, notably over Kashmir, are one of the main factors undermining the strategic stability in this area. These conflicts, when considered through the prism of their distinct strategic cultures, increase the likelihood of serious clashes and, as a result, threaten the stability of the area. Additionally, the different approaches that Pakistan and India have chosen in their interactions with external countries have a significant impact on the strategic stability of the area. The divergent relationship patterns have made the dynamics more complex, with Pakistan expanding its connections with China while India is growing closer to the US and the West.

India and Pakistan's opposing nuclear ideologies significantly impact South Asia's strategic stability. In contrast to India's 'no first use' policy, Pakistan's entire spectrum deterrence doctrine reflects its assessment of existential dangers. Such opposed nuclear stances have sparked a nuclear weapons race and encouraged mutual fear, which inevitably damages the strategic stability of the area. Divergent perspectives on non-state entities and international terrorism exacerbate these tensions. India views these factors as serious security challenges, in striking contrast to Pakistan's view, which sees them as weapons for asymmetrical warfare and a source of ongoing tension (Rana, 2018).

The strategic cultures of Pakistan and India, which are contaminants of their unique historical, political, and sociological settings, have a significant impact on the strategic stability of the area. However, a sophisticated comprehension of these strategic cultures may show the way to series that reduce friction and advance peace. Both states can potentially increase strategic stability through enhanced communication, confidence-boosting measures, and efficient conflict resolution systems supported by a thorough grasp of each other's strategic cultures. Understanding and skillfully managing these diverse strategic cultures is essential for realizing long-lasting peace and stability in South Asia.

Conclusion

It is indisputable that the stability of the South Asian region is greatly influenced by the varied strategic cultures of Pakistan and India, which are formed by their respective national ideologies, historical backgrounds, and political contexts. These strategic cultures are dynamic, changing, and retort to local and international upheavals rather

than static structures. The unambiguous cultural differences between Pakistan and India, where Pakistan is primarily motivated by security concerns and India is oriented mainly towards maintaining the status quo, profoundly affect their bilateral interactions and, consequently, the region's stability.

The ongoing issues between the two countries, which include unresolved territorial disputes, diverse foreign alignments, differing nuclear doctrines, and contrasting perspectives on non-state actors and terrorism, are considerably exacerbated by their different strategic cultures. Understanding the regional dynamics and allowing successful diplomatic measures thus need an understanding of these cultural differences. These factors work together to create the complex security situation in South Asia, characterized by cyclical periods of stress and brief periods of peace. This research also suggests that more strategic stability would be possible if we better understood each nation's strategic culture. This insight emphasizes the need for open communication, mutual trust, and efficient conflict-resolution techniques considering various cultural understandings. Securing long-term peace and stability in the region will depend on how well India and Pakistan handle their different strategic cultures as they set their strategic courses in a rapidly changing world.

In the end, knowing India and Pakistan's strategic cultures is critical to understanding their strategic behaviors and the ensuing dynamics of regional security. Policymakers, strategists, and academics will continue to focus on these nations' strategic cultures and their effects on regional stability as they develop and become more prominent worldwide. This conclusion emphasizes how important it is to continue learning about and comprehending these strategic cultures if South Asia is to experience peace and stability.

References

Abbasi, R. (2015). India and Pakistan: Distinct strategic directions and fragility of peace. *Pakistan Horizon*, *68*(3/4), 105-130. https://www.jstor.org/stable/44988240

Abbasi, R. (2015). India and Pakistan: Distinct strategic directions and fragility of peace. *Pakistan Horizon*, *68*(3/4), 105-130. https://www.jstor.org/stable/44988240

Ali, S. (2022). Strategic culture and Inter-State relations: A case of Pakistan and India. *Margalla Papers, 26*(I), 121-131. https://doi.org/10.54690/margallapapers.26.i.102

Anders, K. B. (2011). Enduring Rivalry? A Case Study of the Conflict in Kashmir. *E-International Relations*. https://www.e-ir.info/2011/08/17/enduring-rivalry-a-case-study-of-the-conflict-in-kashmir/

Anwar, S., Khan, M. A., & Sarwar, A. (2017). Minhas Majeed Khan, et al (eds.). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor—A Game Changer. Pakistan Development Review, 56(1).

Basrur, R. (2022). *Indian strategic culture.* Routledge Handbook of the International Relations of South Asia, 63-78. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003246626-8

Basrur, R. M. (2001). Nuclear weapons and Indian strategic culture. *Journal of Peace Research,* 38(2), 181-198. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343301038002004

Bhaskar, N. J. (2021). India's developing economic ties with the Indo-
    Pacific. *Observer Research Foundation*.

Briskey, M. (2022). The Foundations of Pakistan's Strategic Culture: Fears of an
    Irredentist India, Muslim Identity, Martial Race, and Political Realism.
    Journal of Advanced Military Studies 13, 130-152.
    https://www.muse.jhu.edu/article/851423.

Brodie, B., & Dunn, F. S. (1946). *The absolute weapon: Atomic power and world
    order*. Harcourt Brace.

Chaaterji, A. (2020). In search of a strategic culture in Indian foreign policy.
    Diplomatist. https://diplomatist.com/2020/08/07/in-search-of-a-strategic-
    culture-in-indian-foreign-policy/

Cheema, P. I. (2009). *The Armed Forces of Pakistan.* Oxford University Press.

Cho, Y. Y. (2011). The" Age of East Asia": Can the Politics of Regime Trump the
    Politics of Power? *Korea Observer*, *42*(1), 145.

Christine, F. (2014). Fighting to the End: The Pakistan Army's Way of War.
    Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.
    https://carnegieendowment.org/2014/09/04/fighting-to-end-pakistan-army-s-
    way-of-war-event-4536

Cohen, S. P. (1997). India's Rise to Power in the Twentieth Century and Beyond. *The
    Journal of Asian Studies*, *56*(2), 520-521.

Fair, C. C. (2014). *Fighting to the end: The Pakistan army's way of war*. Oxford
    University Press, USA.

Fair, C. C. (2018, August 30). Pakistan's strategic culture: Implications for how
    Pakistan perceives and counters threats. *The National Bureau of Asian
    Research (NBR)*. https://www.nbr.org/publication/pakistans-strategic-
    culture-implications-for-how-pakistan-perceives-and-counters-threats/

Ganguly, S., & Biringer, K. L. (2001). Nuclear crisis stability in South Asia. *Asian
    Survey, 41*(6), 907–924. https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2001.41.6.907

Gordon, S. (1994). Indian security policy and the rise of the Hindu right. *South Asia:
    Journal of South Asian Studies*, *17*(1), 191-211.

Haqqani, H. (2010). *Pakistan: Between Mosque and Military.* Carnegie Endowment
    for International Peace.

Haqqani, H. (2016). *India vs Pakistan: Why Can't We Just Be Friends?* Juggernaut
    Books.
    https://doi.org/10.36968/JPDC.2017.I02.04

Jervis, R. (1989). Rational deterrence: Theory and evidence. *World Politics, 41*(2),
    183-207. https://doi.org/10.2307/2010407

Johnston, A. I. (1995). Thinking about strategic culture. *International Security*, *19*(4),
    32-64.

Jones, R. W. (2006). India's strategic culture. *Defence Threat Reduction Agency*, 1-
    31.

Joshi, Y. (2012). Book review: Shrikant Paranjpe, India's strategic culture: Making
    national security policy. International Studies, 49(3-4), pp. 456-459.
    https://doi.org/10.1177/0020881714534546

Khan, F. H. (2005). Comparative Strategic Culture: The Case of Pakistan. *Strategic
    Insights*, *6(*10). https://core.ac.uk/reader/36704590

Khan, Z. (2021). Military Strategies of India and Pakistan: A Perspective. *Strategic
    Thought*, *3*, 126-146. https://strategicthought.ndu.edu.pk/site/article/view/30

Lavoy, P. R. (2006). *Pakistan's strategic culture*. Comparative strategic cultures curriculum project. Report for Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

Liebig, M. (2021). Interrogating 'Hyphenated Cultures'. *Journal of Defence Studies*, *15*(3), 9-40.

Lock, E. (2010). Refining strategic culture: Return of the second generation. *Review of International Studies, 36*(3), 685-708. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0260210510000276

Lora, S. (2020). India's no-first-use dilemma: Strategic consistency or ambiguity towards China and Pakistan. *Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.* https://www.sipri.org/commentary/blog/2020/indias-no-first-use-dilemma-strategic-consistency-or-ambiguity-towards-china-and-pakistan

Misra, S. K. (2018). A More Robust Indian Strategic Culture Achieved by Improving Civil Military Relations. https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/AD1177128

Mughal, M. A. (2012). Two nation theory: In the light of the speeches of Quaid-i-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2021435

Nawaz, S. (2016). *Countering Militancy and Terrorism in Pakistan*: The Civil-Military Nexus. United States Institute of Peace.

Paranjpe, S. (2020). *India's strategic culture: the making of national security policy*. Taylor & Francis.

Paul, T. V. (Ed.). (2005). *The India-Pakistan conflict: an enduring rivalry* (Vol. 30). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rana, A. (2018). Challenges to strategic stability in South Asia: An analysis. *Strategic Studies*, *38*(2), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.53532/ss.038.03.00147

Rizvi G. (2002). *Pakistan's strategic culture*. Pennsylvania: Strategic Studies Institute, 305-28.

Rubin, L., & Stulberg, A. N. (2018). The end of strategic stability? Nuclear weapons and the challenge of regional rivalries. *Georgetown University Press.*

Şahbaz, D. (2020). The Two Nations Theory and its Role in the Establishment of Pakistan. *Journal of History and Idea,7*(1), 207-232.

Sahgal, A. (2019). *Examining Efficacy of Strategic Stability in South Asia: An Analysis* (No. SAND2019-0177). Sandia National Lab. (SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States).

Sahni, V. (2020). The Stability-Instability Paradox: A Less than Perfect Explanation. *In The India-Pakistan Nuclear Relationship* (pp. 185-207). Routledge India. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367817633-7

Sargana, T. H., & Hussain, M. (2017). Strategic Stability Paradigm in South Asia. *Journal of Peace, Development & Communication, 1*(1), 65-77.

Singh, J. (2013). *India at risk: Mistakes, misconceptions and Misadventures of Security Policy*. Rainlight.

Snyder, J. L. (1977). The Soviet strategic culture. Implications for limited nuclear operations. *Defense Technical Information Center.*

Tanham, G. K. (1992). Indian strategic thought: An interpretive essay. *RAND.*

Umar, M. (2016). Nasr: A Product of Pakistan's Strategic Culture. *Policy Perspectives: The Journal of the Institute of Policy Studies*, *13*(1), 153-164.

Waltz, K. N. (2010). *Theory of international politics*. Waveland Press.